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Description of the Instrument  
- This instrument is a rater-administered instrument designed to identify individuals at risk for anticipated physiological falls.  
- The scale is comprised of 6 assessment items: history of falling, secondary diagnosis, use of ambulatory aid, intravenous therapy, gait and mental status. Presence of a risk factor is rated as “yes” or “no” for three of the items (e.g. history of falling). For three items, ratings are based on two or three descriptors. For example, the descriptors for the category, mental status, are: “oriented to own ability” and “overestimates/forgets limitations”. Scores assigned to each response are recorded.  
- **Scoring:** “Yes” or “no” responses or descriptors for each item have been assigned a rating in five point increments ranging from 0 to 30 points. Based on the total score, individuals are categorized as “high”, “medium” or “low” risk of falling.

Form of instrument:  
- Checklists  
- Hazard/Risk Assessment Tools

Method of delivery:  
- In-person interview/assessment  
- Audit of records

Relevance to injury/ Percentage of the instrument specific to injury  
- Assessment of risk of falls
Time to administer or complete the instrument
- Rater-administered in less than one minute

Methods of data analyses:
- Qualitative
- Quantitative

Setting/sample instrument used in:
- A sample of 100 patients who had fallen and a randomly selected sample of 100 patients who had not fallen.

Was it pilot tested? No

Pilot test sample:

Reliability Measures

Validity Measures
Additional testing completed by Eagle et al. (1999) on a sample of elderly inpatients indicated the following:
- Sensitivity (ability to detect falls when they are present) = 72%
- Specificity (ability to identify correctly the absence of falls) = 51%
- Positive Predictive Value (how well test predicted compared to actual number of falls) = 38%
- Negative Predictive Value (how well negative test correctly predicts absence of falls) = 81%
- Accuracy (overall rate of agreement between the test and the actual number of falls) = 57%
- Prevalence (ratio of the number of people who have fallen divided by the total number of people at risk for falling) = 30%
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